

Annex 2. Social and Environmental Screening Template

Project Information	
1. Project Title	UN Joint Interim Program in Haiti – Police, Justice and Corrections
2. Project Number	00097737
3. Location (Global/Region/Country)	Haiti

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

UN Women is a partner in the integrated RoL programme with special attention and programming with the MCFDF to integrate gender dimension into its 2017-21 Strategic Plan, working with the HNP to prevent violence against women, sensitizing Parliament on CEDAW, and working to support legal aid for women and girls. All of these activities aim to improve gender equality and women's empowerment.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

The joint program aims to do no environmental harm and to promote environmental sustainability by strengthening the RoL in Haiti, where legal and security institutions will have better abilities to safeguard environmental concerns, respect biodiversity, and enhance sustainable resource management.

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks? <i>Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any "Yes" responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note "No Risks Identified" and skip to Question 4 and Select "Low Risk". Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.</i>	QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks? <i>Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before proceeding to Question 6.</i>	QUESTION 6: What social and environmental measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?		
Risk Description	Impact and Probability (1-5)	Significance (Low, Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.
Risk 1: Electoral process delayed, political divisions exacerbated	I = 5 P = 4	Moderate to High		Risk will be closely monitored.
Risk 2: Limited commitment by RoL institutions, corruption,	I = 5 P = 3	Moderate to high		Risk will be closely monitored over course of the programme.
Risk 3: Funding delayed	I = 4 P = 3	Moderate		Risk is currently being addressed.
Risk 4: Capacity needs outlast programme timelines.	I = 5 P = 4	Moderate to High		Risk will be monitored over the life of the programme and adjustment made, as needed.
QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk categorization?				
Select one (see SESP for guidance)			Comments	
			Low Risk	☐
			Moderate Risk	☒
			High Risk	☐

QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk categorization, what requirements of the SES are relevant?			Comments
Check all that apply			
<i>Principle 1: Human Rights</i>			
<i>Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment</i>			
1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management	☐		
2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	☐		
3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	☒		
4. Cultural Heritage	☐		
5. Displacement and Resettlement	☐		
6. Indigenous Peoples	☐		
7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	☐		

Final Sign Off

Signature	Date	Description
QA Assessor		UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP Programme Officer. Final signature confirms they have "checked" to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
QA Approver		UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD), Country Director (CD), Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. Final signature confirms they have "cleared" the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC.
PAC Chair		UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.